

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA**

JON A. SALSTRAND	:	
2508 S. 35th Street	:	
Lincoln, NE 68506	:	
	:	
Plaintiff	:	
	:	
v.	:	
	:	NO. 4:20-cv-3146
BNSF RAILWAY COMPANY, f/k/a	:	
Burlington Northern and Santa Fe	:	
Railway Company	:	
2650 Lou Menk Drive	:	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
Fort Worth, TX 76131	:	
	:	
Defendant.	:	

COMPLAINT

1. This suit is governed by the Federal Employers' Liability Act, 45 U.S.C. Sec. 51 et seq. (FELA) and the Federal Locomotive Inspection Act, 49 U.S.C. § 20701 et seq., which grants this Court jurisdiction over this action.
2. Plaintiff, Jon A. Salstrand, is an adult individual residing at the above captioned address.
3. Defendant, BNSF Railway Company, f/k/a Burlington Northern and Santa Fe Railway, successor in interest to Burlington Northern Railroad and Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway, amongst others, was at all times relevant hereto, engaged in interstate commerce in and throughout several states of the United States as a common carrier by rail; and for the purposes hereof did operate locomotives, railroad cars and repair facilities and transacted substantial business throughout the various states of the United States.

4. Railroads and their facilities are notorious for having been contaminated from years of transporting toxic substances and from the use of toxic substances and known carcinogens in the operation of the railroads themselves.

5. From October 1973 to February 2014 Plaintiff was employed by Defendant railroad as a laborer, brakeman, switchman and conductor who was acting in the course and scope of his employment with Defendant, and was engaged in the furtherance of interstate commerce within the meaning of said Act.

6. During the course and scope of his career with the Defendant railroad and while working in the Defendant's yards, buildings, locomotives and along its right of ways, including but not limited to the Hobson Yard in Lincoln, Nebraska, the Plaintiff was exposed to various toxic substances and carcinogens including but not limited to diesel fuel/fumes/exhaust, benzene, herbicides and asbestos dust and fibers.

7. Specifically, while on or around locomotives, Plaintiff was exposed to diesel fumes/exhaust and benzene from locomotive exhaust and from track diesel powered equipment, herbicides from "spray trains", and asbestos from insulation and brake shoe dust.

8. Plaintiff's exposure to the above referenced toxic substances and known carcinogens, whether by touch, inhalation or consumption, in whole or in part, caused or contributed to his development of multiple myeloma.

9. Plaintiff's exposure was cumulative and occurred at different and variable exposure levels over the course of his career depending on his work location.

10. The Plaintiff's cancer is the result of the negligence of the Defendant railroad in that it utilized known cancer causing materials in its operation, which the Defendant knew, or in the ordinary exercise of ordinary care should have known, were deleterious, poisonous, toxic and

highly harmful to its employees' health.

11. Defendant's negligence consisted of:

- (a) Failing to use ordinary care and caution to provide the Plaintiff with a reasonably safe place in which to work as required by the FELA;
- (b) Failing to take any effective action to reduce, modify or eliminate certain job duties, equipment or practices so as to minimize or eliminate the Plaintiff's exposure to toxic materials and carcinogens;
- (c) Failing to test railroad facilities, equipment, yards, buildings, and right of ways for the presence of toxic materials and carcinogens;
- (d) Failing to engage in follow up monitoring of its facilities, equipment, yards, building, and right of ways for the presence of toxic materials and carcinogens;
- (e) Failing to properly remediate known toxic materials and carcinogens from its facilities, equipment, yards, building, and right of ways
- (f) Failing to periodically test employees such as the Plaintiff for physical effects of exposure to toxic materials and carcinogens and failing to take appropriate action, including advising the Plaintiff as to the test results;
- (g) Failing to warn the Plaintiff of the risk of contracting cancer or other diseases as a result of exposure to known carcinogens;
- (h) Failing to make reasonable efforts to inspect or monitor the levels/amounts of exposure, of the Plaintiff, to carcinogens;
- (i) Failing to provide the Plaintiff with the knowledge as to what would be reasonably safe and sufficient wearing apparel and proper protective equipment to protect him from being poisoned and injured by exposure to carcinogens;

- (j) Failing to provide the Plaintiff with protective equipment designed to protect him from exposure to toxic materials and carcinogens;
- (k) Failing to utilize low emissions fuel such as bio-diesel for its locomotives; and
- (l) Failing to provide the Plaintiff with protective equipment designed to protect him from exposure to toxic materials and carcinogens.

12. The Locomotive Inspection Act, 49 USC § 20701 requires that locomotives are in proper condition and safe to operate without unnecessary danger of personal injury.

13. Instantly, the Defendant failed to provide the Plaintiff with locomotives that had proper and adequate ventilation and/or air filtration systems, the failure of which allowed diesel fumes, dust, fibers and toxins to accumulate and, subsequently, be inhaled by the Plaintiff.

14. Generally, the railroad was in violation of 49 CFR § 229.7 which prohibits railroads from using any locomotive that “is not in proper condition or safe to operate without unnecessary peril to life or limb” and 49 CFR § 229.45 which states, in part, that “all systems and components on a locomotive shall be free of conditions that endanger the safety of the crew, locomotive or train”. Furthermore and more specifically, the railroad was in violation of 49 CFR 229.43(a) that states that: “Products of combustion shall be released entirely outside the cab and other compartments. Exhaust stacks shall be of sufficient height or other means provided to prevent entry of products of combustion into the cab or other compartments under usual operating conditions.”

15. Additionally, the railroad failed to: install proper engine exhaust filters; utilize low emissions fuel such as bio diesel and; mandate cleaner burning engines from the locomotive manufacturers.

16. The aforesaid occurrences were caused in whole or in part by the negligence of the Defendant and/or the negligence of the Defendant's agents, servants and/or employees.

17. As a direct result of the negligence of the Defendant, the Plaintiff experienced and endured pain, suffering, inconvenience, irritation, annoyance; suffered emotional distress; incurred medical expenses associated with diagnosis and treatment.

18. As a result of the negligence of the Defendant, Plaintiff sustained a loss of income, benefit and future income and benefits.

19. Plaintiff suffers from a fear of death as a result of his cancer.

20. Plaintiff, Jon A. Salstrand, seeks all damages recoverable under the FELA.

21. Less than three (3) years before Plaintiff's Complaint was filed he first learned that his cancer was caused or contributed to by the negligence of the Defendant.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff, Jon A. Salstrand, demands judgment against the Defendant in a sum in excess of FIVE HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$500,000.00) and the costs of this action.

BERN CAPPELLI

Dated: November 30, 2020

BY: /s/ Shawn M. Sassaman
SHAWN M. SASSAMAN
Attorney for Plaintiff
101 West Elm Street
Suite 520
Conshohocken, PA 19428
(610) 941-4444
(610) 941-9880 fax
E-mail: ssassaman@bernlpp.com